


REMARKS

In the Office Action dated February 16, 2006, a suggestion for amending the specification was made by the Examiner, and the specification has been amended in accordance with this suggestion. In the same paragraph, Applicants believe it is more conventional to use the word "transducer" in that context, as opposed to "transformer" and therefore that paragraph has been amended to make that change as well. The same change has been made for the same reasons in claim 10, and therefore the Examiner's suggested change in claim 10 is now not seem to be necessary.

The Examiner's suggested changes to claims 2, 11, 22 and 23 have been adopted, with a slight modification in claim 2.

Since the February 16, 2006 Office Action was rendered under *Ex parte Quayle*, and since Applicants believe all of the informalities noted by the Examiner have been overcome, the application is submitted to be in condition for allowance, and early reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Submitted by,



(Reg. 28,982)

SCHIFF, HARDIN LLP
CUSTOMER NO. 26574

Patent Department
6600 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: 312/258-5790
Attorneys for Applicants.